

Localization of supergravity on the braneM.J. Duff^{†1}, James T. Liu^{†1}, W.A. Sabra[‡][†] *Randall Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1120*[‡] *Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences (CAMS) and Physics Department,
American University of Beirut, Lebanon***ABSTRACT**

A supersymmetric Randall-Sundrum brane-world demands that not merely the graviton but the entire supergravity multiplet be trapped on the brane. To demonstrate this, we present a complete ansatz for the reduction of $(D = 5, N = 4)$ gauged supergravity to $(D = 4, N = 2)$ ungauged supergravity in the Randall-Sundrum geometry. We verify that it is consistent to lowest order in fermion terms. In particular, we show how the graviphotons avoid the ‘no photons on the brane’ result because they do not originate from Maxwell’s equations in $D = 5$ but rather from odd-dimensional self-duality equations. In the case of the fivebrane, the Randall-Sundrum mechanism also provides a new Kaluza-Klein way of obtaining chiral supergravity starting from non-chiral.

¹ Research supported in part by DOE Grant DE-FG02-95ER40899 Task G.

1 Introduction

The claim [1] that the Type IIB domain wall solution of Bremer *et al.* [2] provides a supersymmetric realization of the Randall-Sundrum [3] brane-world naturally requires that not just the graviton but the whole $(D = 4, N = 4)$ supergravity multiplet be localized on the brane. Indeed, this was implicitly assumed when showing that the Maldacena [4] $(D = 4, N = 4)$ SCFT¹ gives the same $1/r^3$ corrections to the Newtonian potential as those found by Randall and Sundrum [5]. Since most treatments of the brane-world have focussed only on the graviton, however, it would be desirable to demonstrate the trapping of the entire supermultiplet explicitly by presenting a complete reduction ansatz of $(D = 5, N = 8)$ gauged supergravity to $(D = 4, N = 4)$ ungauged supergravity in the Randall-Sundrum geometry. In a first step towards this goal, this paper presents the complete reduction ansatz for the simpler case of $(D = 5, N = 4)$ gauged supergravity to $(D = 4, N = 2)$ ungauged supergravity.

However, in addition to all the no-go theorems discussed and refuted in [1], a new one now presents itself². Unlike gravitons obeying an Einstein-Hilbert action, or scalars obeying a Klein-Gordon action, photons obeying a Maxwell action in $D = 5$ are not localized on the brane because the reduction ansatz in the Randall-Sundrum geometry leads to a divergent integral [6, 7]. Yet we certainly require the graviphotons in $D = 4$ supergravity multiplet to be bound to the brane. A second purpose of the present paper, therefore, is to resolve this dilemma by invoking some recent results in [8]. The no-go theorem is circumvented because the graviphotons do not originate from a Maxwell action in $D = 5$ gauged supergravity but rather from an “odd-dimensional self-duality” action [9, 10, 11, 12]. The $(D = 5, N = 8)$ gauged supergravity [10, 11] provides 12 two-forms, transforming as $(6, 2)$ of $SO(6) \times SL(2)$. When paired up in the reduction of [8], these provide the six graviphotons of the $(D = 4, N = 4)$ theory on the brane. (This $SL(2)$ is then the S-duality in $D = 4$.) Similarly, the $(D = 5, N = 4)$ gauged supergravity [12] has a pair of two-forms, reducing to a single graviphoton of the resulting $(D = 4, N = 2)$ theory. Here we focus on the reduction of gauged $(D = 5, N = 4)$ supergravity, and complete the picture of [8] by providing the reduction ansatz for the fermions and hence demonstrating that the entire $(D = 4, N = 2)$ ungauged supergravity multiplet may be confined to the brane. These results may be easily generalized to the reduction of the gauged $(D = 5, N = 8)$ theory to ungauged $(D = 4, N = 4)$.

Another novel aspect of localizing supergravity on the brane is that of chirality. Just as the $D = 10$ S^5 breathing-mode domain-wall solution of Bremer *et al.* [2] provides a Type IIB realization of the Randall-Sundrum threebrane in AdS_5 , so the $D = 11$ S^4 breathing-mode domain wall solution [2] provides an M-theory realization of the Randall-Sundrum fivebrane in AdS_7 . The resulting theory trapped on the brane is *chiral* $D =$

¹The complete brane theory is thus $N = 4$ supergravity coupled to $U(\mathcal{N})$ $N = 4$ Yang-Mills. Since the origin of the Yang-Mills is well understood, however, we focus here only on the supergravity.

²This was first pointed out to us by Eva Silverstein.

6, $(N_+, N_-) = (2, 0)$ supergravity coupled to the SCFT. This thus provides a new Kaluza-Klein mechanism, distinct from Horava-Witten [13, 14], of obtaining a chiral supergravity theory on the brane starting from a non-chiral theory in the bulk.

2 Supergravity in the bulk, and reduction of the bosons

In five dimensions, $N = 4$ supergravity admits an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauging and an anti-de Sitter vacuum with supergroup $SU(2, 2|2)$. The field content of this theory consists of a graviton g_{MN} , four gravitini Ψ_M^a , $SU(2) \times U(1)$ adjoint gauge fields A_M^I and a_M , two anti-symmetric tensors B_{MN}^α , four spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields χ^a , and a real scalar ϕ . Indices a, b take values in the 4 of $USp(4)$ and I, J in the adjoint of $SU(2)$, while $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ correspond to the real vector representation of $SO(2) \simeq U(1)$. Indices $M, N, \dots$ denote five-dimensional space-time indices, while $\mu, \nu, \dots$ denote four-dimensional ones. This model was constructed in [12], and to leading order in the fermions has a Lagrangian given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = & R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}X^4(f_{MN})^2 - \frac{1}{4}X^{-2}(F_{MN}^I)^2 - \frac{1}{4}X^{-2}(B_{MN}^\alpha)^2 + 4g^2(X^2 + 2X^{-1}) \\
& + \frac{1}{8g}\epsilon^{MNPQR}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}B_{MN}^\alpha D_P B_{QR}^\beta - \frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{MNPQR}F_{MN}^I F_{PQ}^I a_R \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}_M^a \gamma^{MNP} D_N \Psi_{Pa} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}^a \gamma^M D_M \chi_a \\
& - \frac{3i}{2}\bar{\Psi}_M^a \gamma^{MN} T_{ab} \Psi_N^b + \bar{\Psi}_M^a \gamma^M A_{ab} \chi^b + i\bar{\chi}^a (\frac{1}{2}T_{ab} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}A_{ab})\chi^b \\
& + \frac{i}{8\sqrt{2}}(H_{MN}^{ab} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}h_{MN}^{ab})\bar{\Psi}_a^P \gamma_{[P}\gamma^{MN} \gamma_{Q]}\Psi_b^Q + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}}(H_{MN}^{ab} - \sqrt{2}h_{MN}^{ab})\bar{\Psi}_a^P \gamma^{MN} \gamma_P \chi_b \\
& + \frac{i}{24\sqrt{2}}(H_{MN}^{ab} - \frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}h_{MN}^{ab})\bar{\chi}_a \gamma^{MN} \chi_b - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_N \phi \bar{\Psi}_M^a \gamma^N \gamma^M \chi_a,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\phi}, \\
F_{MN}^I &= 2\left(\partial_{[M}A_{N]}^I + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{IJK}A_{[M}^J A_{N]}^K\right), \\
H_{MN}^{ab} &= X^{-1}\left[F_{MN}^I(\Gamma_I)^{ab} + B_{MN}^\alpha(\Gamma_\alpha)^{ab}\right], \\
h_{MN}^{ab} &= X^2\Omega^{ab}f_{MN}, \\
T^{ab} &= \frac{g}{6}(X^{-2} + 2X)(\Gamma_{12})^{ab}, \\
A^{ab} &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{3}}(-X^{-2} + X)(\Gamma_{12})^{ab}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here we mostly follow the conventions of [12] up to a rescaling of the fields by a factor of $1/2$. However we use a mostly positive metric, $\eta_{MN} = (-, +, +, +, +)$. Additionally, we prefer to label the $USp(4)$ matrices $(\Gamma_I, \Gamma_\alpha)$ with $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $I = 3, 4, 5$ instead. We have additionally used the freedom to rescale the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ couplings to set $g_2 = \sqrt{2}g_1 = 2\sqrt{2}g$. Note that the bosonic part of (1) agrees with that of [8].

To lowest order, the supersymmetry variations corresponding to the above Lagrangian are given by

$$\delta e_M^r = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{\Psi}_M^a \gamma^r \epsilon_a, \quad (3)$$

$$\delta A_M^I = \Theta_M^{ab}(\Gamma^I)_{ab}, \quad (4)$$

$$\delta a_M = \frac{i}{4}X^{-2}(\bar{\Psi}_M^a - \frac{2i}{3}\bar{\chi}^a \gamma_M) \epsilon_a, \quad (5)$$

$$\delta B_{MN}^\alpha = 2D_{[M}(\Theta_{N]}^{ab}(\Gamma^\alpha)_{ab}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma_\beta)_{ab}X^{-1}(\bar{\Psi}_{[M}^a\gamma_{N]}\epsilon^b - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}\bar{\chi}^a\gamma_{MN}\epsilon^b), \quad (6)$$

$$\delta\phi = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{\chi}^a \epsilon_a, \quad (7)$$

$$\delta\Psi_{Ma} = D_M\epsilon_a - i\gamma_M T_{ab}\epsilon^b - \frac{i}{12\sqrt{2}}(\gamma_M^{NP} - 4\delta_M^N\gamma^P)(H_{NPab} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}h_{NPab})\epsilon^b, \quad (8)$$

$$\delta\chi_a = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\gamma^M\partial_M\phi\epsilon_a + A_{ab}\epsilon^b + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}}\gamma^{MN}(H_{MNab} - \sqrt{2}h_{MNab})\epsilon^b, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Theta_M^{ab} = -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}X(\bar{\Psi}_M^a\epsilon^b + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\bar{\chi}^a\gamma_M\epsilon^b). \quad (10)$$

The consistent reduction for the bosonic fields was given in [8], where the scalar and one-form gauge fields were set to zero,

$$a_M = 0, \quad A_M^I = 0, \quad \phi = 0, \quad (11)$$

while the metric took on a standard Randall-Sundrum form,

$$ds_5^2 = e^{-2k|z|}ds_4^2 + dz^2, \quad (12)$$

where k is always taken positive, corresponding to the ‘kink-down’ geometry that traps gravity. As discussed in [15], supersymmetry demands the gauge coupling g to flip a sign across the brane, so the relation between g and k is simply $g = k \operatorname{sgn}(z)$.

The key observation made in [8] was that the two-forms B_{MN}^α , which satisfy an odd-dimensional self duality condition, may be reduced according to

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-k|z|}\{F_{\mu\nu}, -\star_4 F_{\mu\nu}\}, \\ B_{\mu z}^\alpha &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

to provide the $D = 4$, $N = 2$ graviphoton localized on the brane. Note that this ansatz for the two-form yields $(B_{MN}^\alpha)^2 = 0$, as is fitting for a ‘self-dual’ field in general. For this background, with the scalar sitting at its fixed point, $X = 1$, we find

$$T^{ab} = \frac{g}{2}(\Gamma_{12})^{ab}, \quad A^{ab} = 0, \quad (14)$$

while many other terms drop out because of the trivial one-form potentials. Additionally, we have

$$H_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha(\Gamma_\alpha)^{ab}, \quad h_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = 0. \quad (15)$$

It was shown in [8] that the bosonic equations of motion reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\lambda}F_{\nu}{}^{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}F^2), \\ dF &= 0, \quad d\star_4 F_{\mu\nu} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

This is the expected form of the equations corresponding to the graviton and graviphoton of ungauged $D = 4$, $N = 2$ supergravity.

3 The reduction ansatz for the fermions

We now turn to consideration of the fermion fields. With the expectation that pure $N = 2$ supergravity in four dimensions does not contain any spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields, it is natural to set

$$\chi_a = 0. \quad (17)$$

What remains to be determined is the ansatz for the gravitino Ψ_M^a as well as the form of the supersymmetry parameter ϵ . We start with the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ variation, (9), which on this background takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\chi_a &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}}\gamma^{MN}B_{MN}^{\alpha}(\Gamma_{\alpha})_{ab}\epsilon^b \\ &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}}e^{k|z|}\hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu}(F_{\mu\nu}\Gamma_1 - \star_4 F_{\mu\nu}\Gamma_2)_{ab}\epsilon^b, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where four-dimensional Dirac matrices, denoted with a caret, have curved space indices corresponding to the four-dimensional vierbein. A standard manipulation using the identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\hat{\gamma}^{\lambda\sigma} = i\hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 \quad (19)$$

then brings the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ variation to the final form

$$\delta\chi_a = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}}e^{k|z|}\hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma_1)_{ab}(1 - i\gamma^5\Gamma^{12})^b{}_c\epsilon^c. \quad (20)$$

We keep in mind that γ^5 is the four-dimensional chirality operator, $\gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$.

Since in the reduction we have set $\chi_a = 0$ in (17), the above transformation is consistent with the ansatz only if it can be set to zero. This implies that the surviving supersymmetry on the brane must satisfy

$$(1 - i\gamma^5\Gamma^{12})\epsilon = 0. \quad (21)$$

This result is consistent with the BPS nature of the Randall-Sundrum brane, where the ‘kink’ breaks half of the bulk supersymmetries.

Proceeding with the gravitino transformation, (8), we find

$$\delta\Psi_{Ma} = \nabla_M\epsilon_a - \frac{i}{2}g\gamma_M(\Gamma_{12})_{ab}\epsilon^b - \frac{i}{12\sqrt{2}}(\gamma_M{}^{NP} - 4\delta_M^N\gamma^P)(B_{NP}^{\alpha})(\Gamma_{\alpha})_{ab}\epsilon^b. \quad (22)$$

The z component is then

$$\delta\Psi_{za} = \partial_z \epsilon_a - \frac{i}{2} g \gamma_z (\Gamma_{12})_{ab} \epsilon^b - \frac{i}{24} e^{k|z|} \gamma_z \hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} (\Gamma_1)_{ab} (1 - i\gamma^5 \Gamma^{12})^b{}_c \epsilon^c, \quad (23)$$

which may be rewritten in the form

$$\delta\Psi_z = \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}gz} \partial_z e^{\frac{1}{2}gz} - \frac{1}{2} \left(g + \frac{i}{12} e^{k|z|} \gamma_z \hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \Gamma_1 \right) (1 - i\gamma^5 \Gamma^{12}) \right] \epsilon, \quad (24)$$

where all symplectic indices have been suppressed. We have furthermore made the identification $\gamma_z = -\gamma^5$, corresponding to the $D = 5$ relation $\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^{\bar{z}} = i$. Since $g = k \operatorname{sgn}(z)$, it is now clear that the above transformation will vanish for a supersymmetry parameter of the form

$$\epsilon(x, z) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k|z|} (1 + i\gamma^5 \Gamma^{12}) \hat{\epsilon}(x). \quad (25)$$

The spinors $\hat{\epsilon}(x)$ correspond to the surviving supersymmetry on the brane. The exponential factor $e^{-\frac{1}{2}k|z|}$ agrees with that anticipated in [8].

We now reduce the four-dimensional component of the gravitino transformation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Psi_\mu = & \left[\hat{\nabla}_\mu - \frac{i}{8} (\hat{\gamma}_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - 2\delta_\mu^\nu \hat{\gamma}^\rho) F_{\nu\rho} \Gamma_1 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} (g e^{-k|z|} \hat{\gamma}_\mu \gamma^z - \frac{i}{6} (\hat{\gamma}_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - \delta_\mu^\nu \hat{\gamma}^\rho) F_{\nu\rho} \Gamma_1) (1 - i\gamma^5 \Gamma^{12}) \right] \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

This indicates that the proper reduction of the gravitino is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\mu(x, z) &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k|z|} (1 + i\gamma^5 \Gamma^{12}) \hat{\psi}_\mu(x), \\ \Psi_z(x, z) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

so that

$$\delta\hat{\psi}_\mu = \left[\hat{\nabla}_\mu - \frac{i}{8} (\hat{\gamma}_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - 2\delta_\mu^\nu \hat{\gamma}^\rho) F_{\nu\rho} \Gamma_1 \right] \hat{\epsilon}. \quad (28)$$

4 Consistency of the fermion ansatz

It was shown in [8] that the consistent reduction of the bosonic sector is compatible with the field truncation (11). In the presence of fermions, it is also necessary to verify that the supersymmetry variations of the bosons respect this truncation. Using the above reduction ansatz and the Majorana transpose

$$\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k|z|} \bar{\hat{\epsilon}} (1 - i\gamma^5 \Gamma^{12}), \quad (29)$$

one can easily check from (4), (5) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu^I &= 0, & \delta A_z^I &= 0, \\ \delta a_\mu &= 0, & \delta a_z &= 0, \\ \delta\phi &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Furthermore, from (3) and (6), we find that the z component transformations vanish,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta e_z^{\bar{z}} &= 0, \\ \delta B_{\mu z}^\alpha &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

while the four-dimensional components reduce to give the surviving supersymmetry transformations for the bosonic fields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta e_\mu^r &= -\frac{1}{4}\hat{\psi}_\mu \gamma^r \hat{\epsilon}, \\ \delta A_\mu &= -\frac{i}{2}\hat{\psi}_\mu \Gamma^1 \hat{\epsilon}.\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

In fact, the reduction of $\delta B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ leads to a variation on the field strength, $\delta F_{\mu\nu}$. The transformation on the potential, given above, is deduced (up to a gauge transformation) by removing a derivative on both sides of the actual expression.

Finally, we examine the consistency and reduction of the fermion equations of motion. For the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^M D_M \chi_a &= \gamma^M A_{ab} \Psi_M^b + 2i(\frac{1}{2}T_{ab} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}A_{ab})\chi^b + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{6}}(H_{MN}^{ab} - \sqrt{2}h_{MN}^{ab})\gamma_P \gamma^{MN} \Psi_b^P \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{12\sqrt{2}}(H_{MN}^{ab} - \frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}h_{MN}^{ab})\gamma^{MN} \chi_b - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_N \phi \gamma^M \gamma^N \Psi_M^a,\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

which, for the reduction ansatz, simplifies to the condition

$$\gamma_\rho \gamma^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Psi_b^\rho = 0.\tag{34}$$

This is satisfied since the combination $\gamma^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ is projected out on the trapped gravitino given by (27).

On the other hand, the five-dimensional gravitino equation of motion is expected to reduce to its four-dimensional counterpart. From (1), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{MNP} D_N \Psi_{Pa} &= -3i\gamma^{MN} T_{ab} \Psi_N^b + \gamma^M A_{ab} \chi^b + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}(H^{PQab} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}h^{PQab})\gamma^{[M} \gamma_{PQ} \gamma^{N]} \Psi_{Nb} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}}(H_{PQ}^{ab} - \sqrt{2}h_{PQ}^{ab})\gamma^{PQ} \gamma^M \chi_b - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_N \phi \gamma^N \gamma^M \chi_a.\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

Using the reduction ansatz, this becomes

$$\gamma^{MNP} \nabla_N \Psi_P = -\frac{3i}{2}g\gamma^{MN} \Gamma^{12} \Psi_N + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}B^{\rho\lambda\alpha} \gamma^{[M} \gamma_{\rho\lambda} \gamma^{N]} \Gamma_\alpha \Psi_N.\tag{36}$$

The five-dimensional covariant derivative ∇_M picks up a contribution from the warped metric background, (12), which cancels against the first term on the right hand side of (36). Picking μ to be a four-dimensional index, the resulting gravitino equation of motion reduces to

$$\hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu\rho} \hat{\nabla}_\nu \hat{\psi}_\rho = \frac{i}{4}F^{\rho\lambda}(\hat{\gamma}^{[\mu} \hat{\gamma}_{\rho\lambda} \hat{\gamma}^{\nu]}) \Gamma_1 \hat{\psi}_\nu.\tag{37}$$

For the z component of (36), a similar computation indicates

$$\hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} \hat{\nabla}_\mu \hat{\psi}_\nu = \frac{i}{8}F_{\rho\lambda} \hat{\gamma}^{\rho\lambda} \hat{\gamma}^\mu \Gamma_1 \hat{\psi}_\mu,\tag{38}$$

which is equivalent to the $\hat{\gamma}_\mu$ contraction of (37).

5 Evading the ‘no photons on the brane’ result

Let us first recall the arguments of [6, 7] showing that photons originating from a Maxwell action in $D = 5$ are not trapped on the brane. Starting from

$$S_{\text{Maxwell}} = \int d^4x dz \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[-\frac{1}{4} g^{MP} g^{NQ} F_{MN} F_{PQ} \right], \quad (39)$$

and making the reduction ansatz (12) for the metric and

$$A_M(x, z) = \{A_\mu(x), 0\} \quad (40)$$

for the photon, we find

$$S_{\text{photon}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz, \quad (41)$$

for which the z integral diverges. This is due to the two powers of the inverse metric appearing in the Maxwell action and contrasts with both the Einstein-Hilbert and Klein-Gordon actions

$$S_{\text{Einstein-Hilbert-Klein-Gordon}} = \int d^4x dz \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[g^{MN} R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g^{MN} \partial_M \phi \partial_N \phi \right], \quad (42)$$

which have only one power of the inverse metric and hence yield

$$S_{\text{gravity-scalar}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz e^{-2k|z|}, \quad (43)$$

for which the z integral converges. This result was interpreted in [7] as a charge-screening effect. However, supersymmetry rules out any such effect for the graviphotons in the $N = 4$ supergravity multiplet, whose action must lead to the same convergent integral as the graviton and graviscalars. The ansatz (40) in any case is ruled out in the Randall-Sundrum geometry (12) by the bulk Einstein equation [16]. Furthermore, attempts to modify the ansatz, *e.g.* through inclusion of a converging z -dependent factor

$$A_M(x, z) = \{e^{-ak|z|} A_\mu(x), 0\}, \quad (44)$$

will not cure the problem because this would not even solve the bulk Maxwell equations [16].

The resolution, as we have seen in section (2), is to start instead with the 2-form field B_{MN}^α , and then make the z -dependent Lu-Pope [8] ansatz (13) to arrive at graviphotons on the brane. Note, however, that the argument given above for reduction of the action no longer directly applies in this case, as the reduction ansatz (13) is on the

field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ and not on the potential. As a result, a straightforward reduction of the the odd-dimensional self-duality action for the 2-form

$$S_{2\text{-form}} = \int d^4x dz \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[-\frac{1}{4} g^{MP} g^{NQ} B_{MN}^\alpha B_{PQ}^\alpha + \frac{1}{8g} \epsilon^{MNPQR} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} B_{MN}^\alpha D_P B_{QR}^\beta \right], \quad (45)$$

would then yield a vanishing four-dimensional action because of the ‘self-duality’. That this is expected may be seen by considering, for example, the $(D = 5, N = 8)$ case, whereupon the $SL(2)$ symmetry of the five-dimensional action reduces to the $SL(2)$ S-duality of the $(D = 5, N = 4)$ theory on the brane. This S-duality, however, does not appear in the Lagrangian, but only at the level of the equations of motion. Thus the reduced Lagrangian has no choice but to vanish.

Nevertheless, the essence of the argument may be seen by relaxing the ansatz, (13), to

$$B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-k|z|} F_{\mu\nu}^{(\alpha)}, \quad B_{\mu z}^\alpha = 0. \quad (46)$$

The duality condition, $F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = *_4 F_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$, then arises from the odd-dimensional self-duality equation following from (45). Inserting (46) into the 2-form action yields

$$S_{\text{graviphoton}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{8} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^{(\alpha)} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(\alpha)} \right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz e^{-2k|z|}, \quad (47)$$

for which the z integral has the same convergence as (43). Although there are two powers of the inverse metric, this is compensated by the z -dependence of the ansatz. This action of course vanishes upon the substitution $F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = *_4 F_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$, since $(*_4)^2 = -1$.

6 Discussion

As anticipated in [8], we have demonstrated that the bosonic reduction ansatz in [8] may be straightforwardly extended to include the fermion content as well. Thus we have shown that the $D = 5, N = 4$ gauged $SU(2) \times U(1)$ supergravity has a consistent reduction to pure ungauged $N = 2$ (Einstein-Maxwell) supergravity in four dimensions. This reduction corresponds to the localization of a complete supergravity multiplet on the Randall-Sundrum brane, thus confirming the supersymmetry of the scenario.

Collecting the above results, the reduction is summarized by setting

$$\begin{aligned} ds_5^2 &= e^{-2k|z|} ds_4^2 + dz^2, \\ B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-k|z|} \{ F_{\mu\nu}, -*_4 F_{\mu\nu} \}, \\ \Psi_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k|z|} (1 + i\gamma^5 \Gamma^{12}) \hat{\psi}_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

with all other fields set to zero. The reduced equations of motion, (16) and (37), may be derived from the following four-dimensional Lagrangian:

$$e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\hat{\psi}}_\mu \hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu\rho} \hat{\nabla}_\nu \hat{\psi}_\rho + \frac{i}{8} \bar{\hat{\psi}}_\mu (\hat{\gamma}^{[\mu} F \cdot \hat{\gamma}^{\nu]} \Gamma_1) \hat{\psi}_\nu, \quad (49)$$

with corresponding supersymmetry transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta e_\mu^r &= -\frac{1}{4}\bar{\hat{\psi}}_\mu\gamma^r\hat{\epsilon}, \\ \delta A_\mu &= -\frac{i}{2}\bar{\hat{\psi}}_\mu\Gamma^1\hat{\epsilon}, \\ \delta\hat{\psi}_\mu &= \left[\hat{\nabla}_\mu - \frac{i}{8}(\hat{\gamma}_\mu^{\nu\rho} - 2\delta_\mu^\nu\hat{\gamma}^\rho)F_{\nu\rho}\Gamma_1\right]\hat{\epsilon}.\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

The four-dimensional supersymmetry transformation parameter $\hat{\epsilon}$ is related to the five-dimensional one by

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k|z|}(1 + i\gamma^5\Gamma^{12})\hat{\epsilon}.\tag{51}$$

Up to a conversion between five-dimensional symplectic-Majorana spinors and their four-dimensional (Majorana) counterparts, this gives precisely the $D = 4$, $N = 2$ theory.

Note that, while the absolute value ‘kink’ at $z = 0$ is necessary for the trapping of gravity by the brane, we have mostly ignored its effects. In particular, we have not considered the source terms that must necessarily be present in the Lagrangian. Presumably such terms may be interpreted in the original type IIB theory as appropriate (supersymmetric) D3-brane source couplings. A proper understanding of the sources would require such a higher dimensional point of view, as indicated in [1, 15].

We have seen how a possible no-go theorem for photons on the brane is circumvented for the graviphotons because they originate from odd-dimensional self-duality equations rather than Maxwell equations. Consistently with this, the ansatz for the massless modes requires that we set to zero those photons a_μ and A_μ^I which do obey Maxwell’s equations and also those Kaluza-Klein photons arising from the $g_{\mu z}$ components of the metric. Of course, there will be massive modes arising from these and all the other fields that will fall into a continuum of short ($D = 4$, $N = 4$) massive supermultiplets.

Although the reduction of $N = 2$ gauged supergravity gives rise to an $N = 1$ theory without any graviphotons, the trapped graviton still has a gravitino as a superpartner. This theory can in fact be obtained from a truncation of the $N = 4$ Lagrangian, (1), with the $N = 2$ graviphoton given by setting $a_\mu = A_\mu^3/\sqrt{2}$. The reduction to four-dimensions then follows, with only (12), (25) and (27) active, while setting all other fields to zero. Note that the $N = 1$ gravitino is Majorana, so this reduction to pure supergravity does not generate chirality. The situation is different, however, in reducing maximal gauged supergravity in seven dimensions [17, 18], as this would give rise to a six-dimensional $(2, 0)$ theory on the brane. Like in the Hořava-Witten model [13, 14], this generates chirality on the brane from a non-chiral theory in the bulk. We recently became aware of [19], which overlaps with the present results.

Acknowledgments

JTL and WAS wish to thank the hospitality of Khuri Lab at The Rockefeller University where much of the work was performed. MJD acknowledges conversations with Lisa

Randall, Eva Silverstein, and Edward Witten.

References

- [1] M.J. Duff, J.T. Liu and K.S. Stelle, *A supersymmetric Type IIB Randall-Sundrum realization*, hep-th/0007120.
- [2] M.S. Bremer, M.J. Duff, H. Lü, C.N. Pope and K.S. Stelle, *Instanton cosmology and domain walls from M-theory and string theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B543**, 321 (1999) [hep-th/9807051].
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *An alternative to compactification*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999) [hep-th/9906064].
- [4] J. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [hep-th/9711200].
- [5] M.J. Duff and J.T. Liu, *Complementarity of the Maldacena and Randall-Sundrum Pictures*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2052 (2000) [hep-th/0003237].
- [6] B. Bajc and G. Gabadadze, *Localization of matter and cosmological constant on a brane in anti de Sitter space*, Phys. Lett. B **474**, 282 (2000) [hep-th/9912232].
- [7] N. Kaloper, E. Silverstein and L. Susskind, *Gauge Symmetry and Localized Gravity in M Theory*, hep-th/0006192.
- [8] H. Lü and C.N. Pope, *Branes on the Brane*, Nucl. Phys. B **598**, 492 (2001) [hep-th/0008050].
- [9] P.K. Townsend, K. Pilch and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Selfduality In Odd Dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **136B**, 38 (1984).
- [10] M. Gunaydin, L.J. Romans and N.P. Warner, *Gauged $N = 8$ supergravity in five dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B154**, 268 (1985).
- [11] M. Pernici, K. Pilch and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Gauged $N = 8$, $d = 5$ supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B259**, 460 (1985).
- [12] L.J. Romans, *Gauged $N = 4$ supergravities in five dimensions and their magnetovac backgrounds*, Nucl. Phys. **B267**, 433 (1986).
- [13] P. Horava and E. Witten, *Heterotic and type I string dynamics from eleven dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B460**, 506 (1996) [hep-th/9510209].
- [14] P. Horava and E. Witten, *Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity on a Manifold with Boundary*, Nucl. Phys. **B475**, 94 (1996) [hep-th/9603142].

- [15] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, *Supersymmetry in singular spaces*, JHEP **0010**, 033 (2000) [hep-th/0007044].
- [16] M. J. Duff and J. T. Liu, *Hodge duality on the brane*, hep-th/0010171.
- [17] M. Pernici, K. Pilch and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Gauged Maximally Extended Supergravity in Seven Dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B143**, 103 (1984).
- [18] M. Pernici, K. Pilch, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and N.P. Warner, *Noncompact Gaugings and Critical Points of Maximal Supergravity in Seven-dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B249**, 381 (1985).
- [19] M. Cvetič, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, *Brane-world Kaluza-Klein reductions and Branes on the Brane*, hep-th/0009183.